NSW SOCIAL BENEFIT BONDS TRIAL
Executive summary

We are seeking investor participation in the Social Benefit Bond (SBB) trial

The Benevolent Society (TBS), Commonwealth Bank of Australia (CBA) and Westpac Institutional Bank (WBC) have formed a consortium to establish an intensive family support service funded by a SBB.

The objectives of the program are:

- To **provide** intensive family support services to families where children are at high risk of being placed into Out-Of-Home Care (OOHC) i.e. foster care by the State.
- To **demonstrate** that the NSW Government, community and investors can all benefit from SBBs.
- To **mobilise** new sources of mainstream capital to tackle social problems.
- To **establish** an investment model with potential for scaling investment into other social impact services.
Social Impact Finance
An Overview
Introduction

Funding social investment needs¹

There is a growing realisation that government, philanthropic and private investor resources can come together to create efficient and effective social programs.

The fundamental issue is how private sector capital can best be employed to fund social programs.

SBBs are the bridge between providing a more effective approach to the provision of social services by government and delivering monetary and social returns for investors.

CBA and WBC have embraced these ideas and have partnered with TBS in developing the SBB structure in Australia.

¹ Refer Appendix 1: Social Investment Financing References for additional information on social impact financing.
What is a Social Benefit Bond?

**SBBs are financial investments that pay a return based on the performance of a social service provider in addressing a social problem**

- Under a SBB structure, the government engages the private sector to identify how savings can be made with respect to a particular program being provided
- The SBB is structured such that the private sector develops and funds (up-front) a social program to deliver government savings that:
  - Covers the cost of the social service provider
  - Enables payment of a success dividend to investors
  - Delivers net savings to the government after the above payments

**The return to investors varies relative to the degree of success that the social program has in reducing costs to government**

- The success of the SBB is measured by comparing the performance of the social service program against targets agreed upfront with government
- SBBs provide a unique funding alternative:
  - The government’s payment is correlated to the benefits that have been achieved
  - The program spend capacity is far greater than what would otherwise be possible within the constraints of the government’s annual budget
## Investment/Grant Continuum

### Where does the SBB sit?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Investments</strong></td>
<td><strong>Specific Grant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equities, Bonds &amp; Deposits</td>
<td>Specific Donation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical Equity &amp; Bonds</td>
<td>Bonds &amp; Equity in Social Ventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Invest in</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASX 200 Equities, Bank/Corporate Bonds etc.</td>
<td>Funding for specific social ventures with return potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in ethical Companies, Projects &amp; Funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$ returns</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, market return</td>
<td>Yes, financial &amp; social returns subject to performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, generally lower than market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Example</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Equity and Bond Instruments</td>
<td>NSW Social Benefit Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Ethical Investment/Ethical Super</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Social Benefit Bonds
The NSW Government Trial
What does the NSW Government expect to achieve?

- The NSW Government identified key benefits of the SBB structure as follows:
  - A focus on outcomes rather than outputs
  - Additional resources for early intervention
  - Innovation to address pressing social issues
  - Improving the evidence base for social programs
  - Improving accountability and transparency for social programs

- The NSW Government was interested in piloting SBBs to provide an efficient way of reducing OOHC costs and sought tenders from the private sector

- TBS, CBA and WBC were selected as one of two consortia for an OOHC trial

- The cost of the program will be A$10 million to be funded from the proceeds of an SBB
The scope of our child protection problem

Key role of the SBB Funded Service

The number of children in OOHC in NSW rose from 12,700 in 2007 to 18,000 in 2012 equating to 1.13% of all NSW children living in OOHC placements with 35% of the total number made up of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander children.

- OOHC costs up to A$66,000 per annum per child for family-based foster care or A$303,000 per annum per young person in residential care¹.
- Approximately 3% of the highest-risk families are offered an intensive support service like the SBB service, leaving most families without enough support to enable them to improve the safety and wellbeing of their children.
- As a result, in excess of 3,000 NSW children per annum are removed from their families and placed in OOHC, a removal rate driving the rapid growth of total numbers in care and leaving many children disconnected from their family and culture.
- The SBB funded service will support up to 400 families who would not otherwise benefit from intensive family support services.

¹ Source: Boston Consulting Group 2009.
Overview of the Proposed Family Support Service

What are the services being provided?

The aim of TBS' program will be to minimise the frequency of children in these families being removed by child protection staff and placed in OOHC, and to reduce the number of calls to the Government's child protection Helpline.

- TBS will deliver family preservation services over a period of five years to selected families based on specified referral criteria.
- TBS will work with up to 400 families that have resident children aged five or younger that are at risk of significant harm, as determined by the NSW Department of Family and Community Services (FACS).
- TBS will utilise a mix of existing and new infrastructure, programs and professional staff to deliver the intensive family support services.
- The aim of TBS' services is to minimise the incidence of treated children receiving child protection notifications and entering OOHC.
- The SBB service will utilise performance information supplied by the NSW Government to identify service strengths and areas for improvement.
- Information about re-emergence of risk among families who have exited the program will trigger the addition of supplementary support to families as required.
How Intensive Family Support Services (IFSS) work

Intensive intervention for up to six weeks
To address crises and build client trust, TBS case workers will initially stabilise the family environment
- Address housing and debt problems (including use of discretionary funding)
- Secure income support
- Provide safety planning where domestic violence is an issue

Once the crisis period has passed
- Develop a plan with the family to improve its functioning and give the children the specialist support they need

Ongoing support for up to nine months in order to:
- Embed sustained changes in behaviour
- Build family capacity to cope with future challenges
- Connect the family with the wider community services network
Social Benefit Bonds
Proposed Structure
1. A bankruptcy-remote Special Purpose Entity (SPE) will be established to issue the SBB
2. The NSW Government will provide an upfront standing charge of A$5.75 million to facilitate the establishment of the program
3. Investors will subscribe for Class P (A$7.5 million) and Class E (A$2.5 million) of the SBB notes for a total issue of A$10 million
4. TBS will provide the services to the selected families over 5 years, drawing the investor funds (subject to drawdown limits and in accordance with predefined criteria) to pay for the services

- The proceeds of the standing charge (A$5.75 million) will be held in cash as a deposit with WBC until maturity. The SBB raising (A$10 million) will be held in cash as a deposit with WBC with first drawdown to occur once the service commences
- At the end of the five year tenor, the NSW Government will pay the SPE a share of the savings arising as a result of outcomes achieved. The payment amount will be based on the performance of the program in achieving outcomes
- Investors are paid principal and interest as applicable at the end of year five tenor by the SPE

Proposed funding structure
Overview
## Indicative SBB terms

### Snapshot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issuer</th>
<th>Special Purpose Entity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Program Size</td>
<td>A$10 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>The Benevolent Society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SBB Tranches            | Class P Tranche – Principal Protected Bond  
Class E Tranche – Performance Based Security                                                                  |
| Use of Funds            | To provide intensive family support services over 5 years                                                   |
| Bond Maturity           | Five years                                                                                                 |
| Interest Payments       | Class P and E – Payable on Maturity Date dependent on performance                                          |
| Principal Repayments    | Class P principal – guaranteed repayment on Maturity Date  
Class E principal – at risk with repayment dependent on performance of the program on Maturity Date   |
Investor risk and reward

Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Risk Level</th>
<th>Risk vs Rewards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class P</td>
<td>A$7.5 million</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Principal not at risk. Interest payable at Bond maturity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>A$2.5 million</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100% Principal at risk. Interest payable at Bond maturity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Class P Tranche will be principal protected
- The Class E Tranche will have principal at risk
- The interest yield for Class P and E Tranches will be dependent on the performance of the social program - the better the performance, the higher the yield to be earned
- Investors can earn up to 10% and 30% interest (annual compounding) for the Class P and Class E Tranches respectively
The mobilisation of private sector capital enables additional resources to be deployed for prevention

Private sector participation drives best practice in the social services sector and improves accountability and transparency

SBBs represent a new approach to tackling entrenched and expensive social problems

Performance measurement will ensure a stronger evidence base for social programs

The increased focus on outcomes measurement via the SBB has the potential to significantly enhance Government funding of preventative programs

Provided with the opportunity to participate in an exciting initiative that aims to reform protection practice and child safety outcomes in Australia

Benefits for Participants and to Society

SBB trial
IFSS Regions

Families for both Intervention and Control Groups will be across Metropolitan Sydney

REGION 1
1 CSCS
Eastern Sydney
Central Sydney
Burwood
Lakemba

REGION 2
2 CSCS
Bankstown
Campbelltown
Fairfield
Liverpool
Ingleburn

REGION 3
3 CSCS
Auburn
Blacktown
Hawkesbury
Parramatta
Penrith
Mt Druitt
St Marys
St George
FaCS will refer eligible children to TBS on notification of a vacancy in one of two IFSS Regions. The Intervention Group Family will be treated, however the youngest child only will be measured for the performance of the service.

The children measured for performance in the Intervention Group will be selected based on the following criteria:

- A family in Region 1 or Region 2
- Parental responsibility for resident child lies with the resident parent/carer
- Age five years and younger (including unborn children)
- Youngest child in the household
- Subject of an open Safety and Risk Assessment (SARA)\(^1\) with “Safe with Plan” assessment
- There are no criminal proceedings relating to abuse or neglect of a child in respect of anyone resident in the home of the family

\(^1\) FaCS have visited the family as a result of claims of potential or actual harm to the child and the case has not been closed
Control Group selection process

The most closely matched child is identified and tracked to measure performance

The Matched Child will be the child that is the best available match in Region 1 or Region 2 in accordance with the following criteria:

1. Child’s family has the same history of OOHC
2. Child’s family has the same history of SARAs
3. Same aged youngest child as the IG family

Contingent Matching Process

If these criteria cannot be found in Region 1 or Region 2, a matched child will be selected from Region 3
Performance Measurement
Measurement of performance

The amount paid by the NSW Government at the end of the five year tenor is dependent on the performance of the program.

- Performance metrics agreed with the NSW government will be based on a sliding scale of improvement measured against the Control Group.
- At the end of the five year tenor, the program will be rated in accordance with the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Tier</th>
<th>Performance Improvement</th>
<th>Interest Return Tier 1</th>
<th>Interest Return Tier 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>&gt;=5% &lt;15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good 1</td>
<td>&gt;=15% &lt;20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good 2</td>
<td>&gt;=20% &lt;25%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good 3</td>
<td>&gt;=25% &lt;35%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good 4</td>
<td>&gt;=35% &lt;40%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outperform</td>
<td>&gt;40%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance in the Intervention Group will be measured relative to a Control Group of matched children who do not receive intensive support.

- The key performance measures for this SBB are the average numbers of:
  - Entries into OOHC per child (66% Measurement Weighting)
  - Helpline Reports per family (17% Measurement Weighting)
  - SARAs per family (17% Measurement Weighting)

- The measurement process will rely on NSW Government administrative data.

- The data and measurement process will be independently audited.

- The Intervention Group will be measured against the Control Group until the bond maturity.

**Intervention Group**
Children receiving support from TBS

**Control (Benchmark) Group**
Children who meet the selection criteria who are not treated under the program.
**Measurement approach**

**Investors will be rewarded based on the performance percentage**

The performance percentage will be calculated on the Measurement Date – four years and nine months after commencement of the SBB

- The performance percentage is determined as a simple average of the Improvement Percentage calculated for each Cohort
- There will be four Cohorts, one for each year of referrals and their respective matches
- Measurement for each child in the Intervention group will commence from the point they are referred until the Measurement Date

---

**Improvement Percentage for a Cohort is calculated as:**

\[ \text{((66\% \times \text{OOHC}) + (17\% \times \text{Helpline}) + (17\% \times \text{SARA}))} \]
**SBB execution process**

**Nine week lead time between the SBB launch and the acceptance of the first referral**

- Implementation Agreement with NSW government executed
- Bond Documentation Preparation
- SBB Launch
- Conduct Bookbuild
- Allocations Finalised
- Allocation Letters Distributed
- Settlement Date
- SBB accepts first referral

---

**Documentation Process**

- The following documents were executed in the week beginning 10 June 2013
  - Implementation Agreement
  - Trust Documentation
  - Security Trust Documentation

**Launch and Execution**

- Details of the transaction will be launched to the investor community on [1 July 2013]
- Investors will be given the opportunity to review the suite of documentation and the relevant material supporting this SBB
- Orders will be received from investors and depending on the level of interest, it is expected that the order book will remain open for up to one week

**Settlement and Close**

- The SBB will settle on [27 August 2013]
- The government will lodge the A$5.75m standing charge concurrently with the SBB settlement
- TBS will accept first referrals on [30 August 2013]
- The SBB will be allocated once the order book has closed and investors will provide payment for their investment to their respective Austraclear account
TBS – a proven track record

The graph below shows the reduction in helpline reports per family from TBS Brighter Futures intake 2006-07, including reports from two years prior to entry

- TBS has over 30 years experience in delivering family support services to high risk families
- In 2012, TBS delivered A$50 million family support services, including approximately A$6 million in intensive family support to over 200 families
- A key driver of the SBB was TBS’ recognition of the potential of IFSS to significantly reduce OOHC

**The proposed IFSS builds on evidence developed by TBS from its existing government funded preventative programs:**

**Brighter Futures Early Intervention Program**
- TBS has procured data from the Government evidencing a reduction in child protection reports by approximately 40% over three years under TBS’ Brighter Futures Program

**Scarba Intensive Family Support Service**
- An internal evaluation of TBS’ Scarba Intensive Family Support Service found that 43% of families who completed in the assessment stage exited the program because the children were no longer at risk of harm or entry into OOHC

Source: Mullan et al. 2011, page 81
The Homebuilders® model

A proven intensive Family Support Service

The first six weeks of TBS’s Intensive Family Support Service has strong parallels with the Homebuilders service, however TBS has added a longer step-down period to ensure sustained behaviour change.

- While there is limited evidence data in Australia, TBS’ program will be based on the US Homebuilder’s model which saw significant improvement in OOHC placement – both programs target high risk child protection clients.
- The Homebuilders® model, a program based in the US, is the Intensive Family Support model with the best evidence base.
- Homebuilders® involves four to six weeks of intensive family support.
- Homebuilders® achieves the largest improvements with the highest-risk families, those being families with children who have previously been placed in care or who have a history of prior substantiations of child protection risk.
- Reductions in OOHC placement of 21% for families with prior substantiations of child protection risk and reductions of 56% for families with prior OOHC placements were still evident at 12 months post Homebuilders® treatment.
- Those families in the highest risk category who responded most positively to Homebuilders are also well represented in the target group that will be supported by the SBB service.
Appendices
Appendix 1: Social Investment financing references

**Investing for good: The development of a capital market for the not-for-profit sector in Australia**
Senate Economics Reference Committee Inquiry into Finance for the Not-For-Profit Sector, Australian Parliament, November 2011


**Report on the NSW Government Social Impact Bonds Pilot**
Centre for Social Impact, February 2011


**Investing’s Most Important Evolution** Euromoney, June 2012

**Social Impact Bonds** NSW Parliamentary Library Research Service. Dec 2011


**From Potential to Action: Bringing Social Impact Bonds to the US**

Appendix 2: How a child enters Out-of-Home care

- **Financial Year 2012**
  - FACS received helpline calls for 99,539 children
  - ~61,000 children assessed as ROSH
  - 27% of these RoSH reports were investigated through a SARA
  - 73% of these children were not assessed face-to-face due to resource constraints
  - After SARA completed¹, approximately 13,000 children stayed with their families
  - Over 3,500 children entered OOHC (either on an interim or permanent basis)

- **Child Protection Helpline Reports**
  Escalation based on whether there are significant risks to the child.

- **Risk of Significant Harm Report (ROSH)**
  FACS determines if investigation is required based on FACS guidelines.

- **Triage team**
  Triage team assigns case worker

- **SARA commenced**
  Safety assessment component of SARA completed. Case worker recommends whether OOHC is immediately required.

- **FACS case worker continues with Risk Assessment – SBB service intervenes at this stage**

- **Child enters OOHC if the family does not successfully address the risks identified in the SARA**

- **Court orders**
  FACS obtains relevant court orders to authorise child’s stay in OOHC

¹ After SARA completed
## Appendix 3: Termination scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Termination Event</th>
<th>Investor Payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Default by SPE/ TBS**¹          | • Capital protection for all classes  
• Class E investors rely on TBS for capital return to meet any shortfall in remaining principal in the trust  
• Interest return for all classes based on observed performance to date  
• Interest paid for days accrued to date of payment |
| **Default by Government**²        | • Capital Protection for all classes  
• Interest return for all classes based on observed performance to date, subject to no worse than "Good 2"⁵  
• Interest paid for days accrued to date of termination. |
| **Convenience by Government**³    | • Capital Protection for all classes  
• Interest return based on observed performance to date, subject to no worse than "Baseline"⁶  
• Interest due is that which would accrue over 5 years at the assumed performance level irrespective of date of termination, and undiscounted |
| **Force Majeure- Termination by either SPE/TBS or Government**⁴ | • Capital Protection for all classes.  
• Interest return based on observed performance to date, subject to no worse than "Baseline"⁶ if termination occurs in the first 18 months.  
• Interest paid for days accrued to date of termination. |

¹ Class P investors will have recourse to monies received from the government for payment. Class E investors will have recourse to TBS for payment.  
² Investors in Class P and Class E will have recourse to monies received from the government for payment.  
³ Investors in Class P and Class E will have recourse to monies received from the government for payment.  
⁴ Investors in Class P and Class E will have recourse to monies received from the government for payment.  
⁵ Please refer to Slide 22, "Measurement of Performance" for performance returns.
Appendix 4: TBS historical financials

- TBS had net assets at 30 June 2012, of $91.05 million.
- TBS has seen significant revenue growth over the past 5 years. As a general rule, income is slightly positive/negative each year – as a not for profit organisation, TBS’ aim is to expend revenue on delivering services.
- The TBS endowment totals approximately $57 million and has strict governance rules.
- The TBS endowment provides several million a year in cash earnings – this is used for discretionary TBS social impact programs.

### Historical Financials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating expenses</strong></td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$(70,000)</td>
<td>$(60,000)</td>
<td>$(50,000)</td>
<td>$(40,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating surplus/(deficit)</strong></td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset impairment</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$(4,000)</td>
<td>$(3,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset revaluation</strong></td>
<td>$(754)</td>
<td>$(464)</td>
<td>$2,844</td>
<td>$(2,042)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td>$2,351</td>
<td>$1,578</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$(4,208)</td>
<td>$(945)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$116,156</td>
<td>$111,643</td>
<td>$98,312</td>
<td>$108,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial assets</strong></td>
<td>$65,545</td>
<td>$68,785</td>
<td>$63,035</td>
<td>$56,799</td>
<td>$57,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade and other receivables</strong></td>
<td>$8,908</td>
<td>$7,610</td>
<td>$7,332</td>
<td>$1,679</td>
<td>$4,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property plant and equipment</strong></td>
<td>$35,753</td>
<td>$36,172</td>
<td>$38,292</td>
<td>$34,693</td>
<td>$35,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,589</td>
<td>$2,984</td>
<td>$4,941</td>
<td>$12,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$116,156</td>
<td>$111,643</td>
<td>$98,312</td>
<td>$108,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$(19,155)</td>
<td>$(27,456)</td>
<td>$(24,521)</td>
<td>$(17,690)</td>
<td>$(23,933)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets</strong></td>
<td>$91,051</td>
<td>$88,700</td>
<td>$87,122</td>
<td>$80,622</td>
<td>$84,830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Operating surplus includes surpluses from discontinued operations.
Contacts

Steven Hawkins
General Manager Business Development
The Benevolent Society
P: +61 (0)2 8262 3560
M: +61 (0) 466 737 389
E: steve.hawkins@benevolent.org.au

William Hopkins
Executive Business Development
The Benevolent Society
P: +61 (0)2 8262 3569
M: +61 (0) 417 988 858
E: william.hopkins@benevolent.org.au

Jocelyn Bell
Project Manager Business Development
The Benevolent Society
P: +61 (0)2 8262 3568
E: jocelyn.bell@benevolent.org.au

Craig Parker
Executive Director
Westpac Institutional Bank
P: +61 (0) 2 8254 9116
M: +61 (0) 419 268 691
E: cparker@westpac.com.au

Peter Taplin
Director
Westpac Institutional Bank
P: +61 (0) 2 8254 8349
M: +61 (0) 438 495 078
E: ptaplin@westpac.com.au

Simon Ling
Global Head of Debt Markets
Commonwealth Bank of Australia
P: +61 (0) 2 9118 6463
M: +61 (0) 457 524 423
E: simon.ling@cba.com.au

Wendy Butler
Associate
Commonwealth Bank of Australia
P: +61 (0) 2 9118 7385
M: +61 (0) 477 346 499
E: wendy.butler@cba.com.au
Investor Enquiry

Contacts

**Paul O’Brien**
General Manager Business Development
The Benevolent Society
P: +61 (0)2 8262 3560
M: +61 (0) 466 737 389
E: steve.hawkins@benevolent.org.au

**Peter Daltin**
Executive Business Development
The Benevolent Society
P: +61 (0)2 8262 3569
M: +61 (0) 417 986 858
E: william.hopkins@benevolent.org.au

**Patrick Moore**
Director
Westpac Institutional Bank
P: +61 (0) 2 8254 8349
M: +61 (0) 438 495 078
E: ptaplin@westpac.com.au

**Jake Woods**
Global Head of Debt Markets
Commonwealth Bank of Australia
P: +61 (0) 2 9118 6463
M: +61 (0) 457 524 423
E: simon.ling@cba.com.au